IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

IN RE: Chapter 11

WINC INC., et al. Case No. 22-11238 (LSS)

(Jointly Administered)

Debtors.

August 3, 2023

10:00 a.m.

TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING BEFORE THE HONORABLE LAURIE SELBER SILVERSTEIN UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY JUDGE

APPEARANCES:

For the Debtor: Young Conaway Stargatt & Taylor, LLP

BY: ALISON S. MIELKE, ESQ.

1000 North King Street Wilmington, DE 19801 Phone: 302-571-6600 Email: amielke@ycst.com

For the U.S. Trustee: Office of the United States Trustee

> BY: JANE M. LEAMY, ESQ. 844 King Street, Suite 2207

Wilmington, DE 19801 Phone: 302-573-6491 Email: jane.leamy@u:

jane.leamy@usdoj.gov

Audio Operator: Brandon J. McCarthy, ECRO

Transcription Company: Reliable

1007 N. Orange Street

Wilmington, Delaware 19801

(302) 654-8080

Email: gmatthews@reliable-co.com

Proceedings recorded by electronic sound recording, transcript

produced by transcription service.

Case 22-11238-LSS Doc 459 Filed 08/08/23 Page 2 of 17	
	2
INDEX	PAGE
Confirmation of Combined Disclosure Statement and Joint Plan	FAGE
PLAN AND DISCLOSURE STATEMENT APPROVED	13
DECLARATIONS ADMITTED INTO EVIDENCE	
Young Declaration Brault Declaration	7 7

(Recorded proceedings commence at 10:00 a.m.)

THE CLERK: Please rise.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

11

12

16

17

18

19

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: Please be seated. Good morning.

MS. MIELKE: Your Honor, good morning. May it please the court, Alison Mielke from Young Conaway on behalf of the debtors.

I'm joined today by my colleagues, Matthew Lunn, Josh Brooks and Stella Borovinskya, as well as the debtors' former CFO, Carol Brault. Also with us is Kevin Pleines from RPA Advisors. And then to your far left is Emily Young with Epiq Restructuring.

We are here today to present approval of the debtors' 13 chapter 11 Plan. We can report, not to bury the lead, that in consultation with the committee we have resolved the two 15 responses that we received to the plan and that we did receive all the requisite votes for the plan. So we believe that we are going forward today on a fully consensual basis.

THE COURT: Okay.

Unless Your Honor would prefer a different order of operations, our plan is to give you a very brief sort of background of where we've been and how we got here, do a quick summary of sort of the plan highlights, go over a summary of the 1129 factors, and then address any of the Court's comments or concerns.

THE COURT: That's fine.

7

8

9

11

13

15

17

18

20

23

24

MS. MIELKE: All right. So by way of a brief $2 \parallel$ background, Your Honor, the debtors, or Winc, is a publicly 3 held emerging growth company that utilized data analysis to $4 \parallel$ quickly develop their own propriety wine brands. They were 5 based in Santa Monica, California. They had a distribution 6 center in California as well as in Pennsylvania. Their assets comprised primarily wine inventory, contracts and intellectual property including their five core wine brands.

Prior to the petition date, the debtors focused on 10∥expanding their product line and scaling their business for profitability. But they were never able to generate sufficient revenue to cover their operating expenses and support their business. As a result they were reliant on debt financing and 14 equity financing to support the operations.

Last spring, facing a looming maturity on their 16 prepetition credit facility, they started a marketing process to assess viable alternatives. They retained Canicord (phonetic) to commence that process. And then in parallel they also continued to seek third party financing.

They ultimately were unsuccessful in finding third party financing. But at the end of the nine month marketing process, they did identify a bidder for a going concern sale of their assets and operations.

Talks commenced to do an out of court merger 25 transaction. But with the debtors' dwindling liquidity it was determined that the most viable option was to do the transaction through an in court 363 sale process.

1

21

3

7

8

9

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

23

24

25

That potential bidder was identified as a stalking $4 \parallel$ horse bidder. As Your Honor is aware, we did an in court 5 marketing process that was short but fulsome. And we did close 6 the sale to the stalking horse bidder for \$11 million and consideration.

That consideration, the credit bid as a result of that and then the additional consideration was sufficient to pay off the prepetition credit facility as well as the DIP obligations with some remaining cash.

With that sale process completed and with the proceeds in hand, the debtors and the committee worked together to create a joint chapter 11 plan to liquidate the remaining assets of the company.

Just a couple of just facts. With respect to the sale, the sale did result in a going concern sale, and so employees who were not, who were offered employment and chose to accept that employment were given an opportunity for continued employment. Go forward vendors were able to have a, you know, go forward business partner. The sale also resulted in the assumption of significant liabilities including the debtors' gift card subscription liabilities and subscription credit liabilities which were both very significant.

On June 27th Your Honor entered an order approving

5

6

7

8

9

11

13

14

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

among other things the combined DS on an interim basis, the DS $2 \parallel$ and plan, and establishing certain solicitation procedures. That solicitation commenced in July. Under the plan, holders 4 of priority non-tax claims and secure claims are paid in full and are unimpaired; therefore, those votes were not solicited.

We also did not solicit votes for administrative expense claims or priority tax claims which are also paid in full under the plan.

We did not solicit Class 4 intercompany claims or any of the classes of equity interests. So the only voting class was class 3 which is the general unsecured claims. 12 | holders of those claims are entitled to a pro rata share of the liquidated assets after payment of trust expenses, etc.

With that background, Your Honor, there are a number of pleadings, affidavits and declarations related to the solicitation and plan process that are on file with the Court. These include Ms. Young's declaration regarding solicitation and vote tabulation. And that's located at Docket No. 445.

Additionally, Ms. Brault's declaration in support of confirmation is Docket No. 446.

Both witnesses are present and in the courtroom and are prepared to answer any questions that any party in interest or the Court may have.

In addition, there are various affidavits of service 25 \parallel relating to voting materials and solicitation and the notice of the hearing that was sent to all parties in interest in this case. Those affidavits are located at Docket Nos. 413 and 415.

At this time, I'd ask that those documents which again are Docket Nos. 413, 415, 445 and 446 be admitted into evidence.

THE COURT: Does anyone object? [no audible response]

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

17

18

22

23

24

THE COURT: I hear no one. And those documents are admitted without objection.

(Young and Brault Declarations admitted into evidence.) MS. MIELKE: Thank you.

As I'm sure that Your Honor has seen, Ms. Young's declaration provides that approximately 89 percent of holders of general unsecured claims voted in favor of the plan. were three votes that were voting to reject. And those parties 16 voted approximately 99.9 percent of the amounts captured in that class.

As demonstrated by Ms. Young's declaration, two 19∥ballots were rejected due to deficiencies that prevented Epiq 20 from tabulating those votes. One was late and the other did not have a vote indicated.

Ms. Young's declaration as well as the affidavits of service established that the solicitation materials were served in accordance with the solicitation procedures order. particular, a flash drive of the combined plan and DS as well

as the solicitation procedures order, a ballot and a 2 confirmation hearing notice as well as a prepaid return envelope were sent to holders of claims on the general unsecured class.

1

5

7

11

14

16

17

19

23

24

The confirmation hearing notice and the notice of 6 non-voting status was sent to holders of claims in the nonvoting classes. The solicitation package, so the initial package that I just referenced, without a ballot or a prepaid envelope was sent to all of the parties on the core 2002 service list. And then the confirmation hearing itself was sent in addition to all of the services I just mentioned the entire creditor matrix including more than 130,000 former customers which was done by email.

Prior to the objection deadline, the debtors did receive two responses. One was from the IRS and the other was an informal response from the Pennsylvania Department of Revenue. They were both very similar substantively. We did 18 work with both of those parties.

The IRS, we included some language into the proposed order which I'm sure Your Honor has seen, and the IRS has since withdrawn its objection and the informal comment that I received from the Pennsylvania Department of Revenue has been resolved.

The plan proponents' proposed confirmation order was 25 || filed at Docket No. 449. That addresses the standards of

5

6

7

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

sections 1129(a) and (b). Mrs. Brault who is present today and $2 \parallel$ in the courtroom would be prepared to testify to the various elements of sections 1129(a) and (b) consistent with the 4 statements in her declaration.

Based on that testimony, the plan proponents submit that the elements of sections 1129(a) and (b) have been met in this case. In particular, the plan proponents have negotiated the plan in good faith and solicited the plan in good faith and consistent with this Court's orders and the bankruptcy code and rules.

The plan has not been offered for purposes of avoiding taxes. The plan and the plan supplement set forth in sufficient detail the individuals who will be responsible for effectuating the plan and the terms of their compensation.

Also as set forth in the voting declaration, the plan may be confirmed, or excuse me, the debtors have obtained the requisite votes for accepting the plan.

In addition, the plan can be confirmed under section 1129(b) despite the deemed rejection of classes 4, 5(a), 5(b) and 5(c) because no junior class will receive any property under the plan.

To the extent the Court requires additional argument on any of these arguments, the plan proponents are prepared to further explain how those requirements are satisfied in this case. If the Court, however, is satisfied with the record as

1 presented with respect to the declarations and the affidavits $2 \parallel$ on file, then we respectfully request that the Court enter the order.

> THE COURT: Thank you.

3

4

5

6

7

8

11

12

13

15

Does anyone wish to be heard?

MR. KESSELMAN: Good morning, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Good morning.

MR. KESSELMAN: Justine Kesselman, ArentFox Schiff on behalf of the official committee of unsecured creditors, here today with my colleagues and co-counsel, James Britton, Anthony Saccullo, and Mark Hurford.

Also in the courtroom today are the proposed creditor trustee, Brian Rineker (phonetic) and the proposed postconfirmation debtor representative, Brian Ayers.

I'm going to cede the podium to my colleague to make 16 a statement in support of the plan. But before I do, I'd like 17 to thank counsel and the financial advisors for the debtors, 18 their consummate professionalism throughout the case in 19∥ reaching, you know, an agreed and joint plan today; Ms. Leamy from the Office of the U.S. Trustee for closely following this case, working with the parties to resolve concerns throughout the way; and of course thanking the Court, chambers and Your 23 Honor for the guidance for giving the parties the opportunity $24 \parallel$ to resolve disputes, and get this plan before the Court that we 25 \parallel believe is in the best interest of the estate and creditors.

And I'll cede the podium to Mr. Britton.

THE COURT: Thank you.

Mr. Britton?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

11

12

13

15

16

17

20

MR. BRITTON: Good morning, Your Honor, James Britton of ArentFox Schiff appearing on behalf of the Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors.

The Committee supports the plan and is pleased. result of voting reflects overwhelming creditors' support. Today's result is the product of the committee and debtors finding common ground after challenging aspects of the case involving the DIP, the sale and the critical vendor program.

As Your Honor is aware, these efforts culminated in a global settlement among the debtors, the committee, the debtors' prepetition secured lender, and the debtors' stalking horse bidder. That settlement paved the way for this consensual plan where the committee is co-proponent.

As Mr. Kesselman said, Mr. Brian Rinecker is the $18 \parallel$ contemplated creditor trustee and Mr. Brian Ayers is the contemplated post-effective date debtor representative are with us in the courtroom today. And the committee would just like to voice its appreciation of the efforts of Young Conaway and of the debtor and the committee's other professionals as well 23 as the courtroom staff and the United States Trustee's Office 24 for making these cases a success, and ask that the Court 25 confirm the plan.

THE COURT: Thank you. Anyone else?

1

2

3

7

8

11

13

15

16

25

Ms. Mielke? Let me ask just one question if you can just remind me. I know this was a sale and I see in the plan $4 \parallel$ and as reflected, we have a debtor representative. There was a 5 master services agreement and a transition services agreement. 6 Are they still in effect?

MS. MIELKE: They are. And so the way that that works, Your Honor, is that one of the debtor entities, BWSC, will continue to exist and essentially operate in name under the TSA for licensing purposes. And the post-effective date debtor representative will be supervising those operations and 12 ensuring that the TSA is complied with.

Under the TSA, the buyer is required to fund the operations of that entity. So there's a means for funding and financing those operations.

The outside date of the TSA which we did include in 17 the plan with at the request of Your Honor is January, I don't 18 know the exact date, end of January 2024. That's the outside date. It is also subject to termination at the time that the buyer gets the licensing it needs. And then there's a transition. We anticipate, we're told, that it could be short, by the end of the year. I don't have a viable date to give 23 Your Honor, but we know what the outside date is and we're 24 hopeful that it's shortly after hopefully the plan is confirmed.

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.

One other question. There is a list of delayed assumed contracts.

MS. MIELKE: Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

11

15

16

17

20

21

THE COURT: Can you remind me how that works?

MS. MIELKE: Sure. So the debtors have slated those for rejection unless the buyer, which I think will be technically is project Crush (phonetic) acquisition company, unless they select those for assumption before the end of the TSA. So those parties have been put on notice of that, that has been, all of the parties associated with assumption of contracts regarding the sale were put on notice in December about the assumption of their contracts. The delayed assumption contracts list was attached to the plan, and it went out to the parties. So that's how that works.

THE COURT: Okay. Okay. Thank you.

I am prepared to confirm the plan based on the 18 evidence that's submitted by way of the two decelerations that 19 were admitted into evidence, that of Ms. Brault and that of Ms. Young.

The debtors' counsel has done a top level walk 22 \parallel through of the 1129 factors and I agree with the analysis. plan, first of all is a joint plan with the committee, it has 24 been proposed and solicited in good faith. It's not offered 25 for an improper purpose. It does list the names of the debtor rep and the creditor trustee, the trust, creditor trust representative.

1

21

3

5

7

8

11

12 **I**

13

15

17

18

20

23

24

We have an impaired accepting class in Class 3 which 4 accepted overwhelmingly as set forth in Ms. Young's declaration. And the classes that are deemed to reject the 6 plan and did not vote, Classes 4, 5(a), 5(b) and 5(c) can be crammed down under 1129(b). There are no classes below them that are receiving any distributions on account of their claims. This is a liquidating plan so it's feasible and the liquidation analysis does show that under the plan, creditors are receiving at least as much as they would in a chapter 7 case.

So I think that's a high level on the 1129 factors. I note there are no third party releases in this plan, so we're not addressing that issue. Thank you very much. And there are 16 no outstanding objections. The objections by the United States and the other governmental unit have been resolved by language in the proposed form of order. So this is, the plan is fully consensual in the sense that there are no objections pending.

With respect to the delayed assumed contracts, parties received notice multiple times with respect to those contracts and there are no objections with respect to the process that is being used or the timeframe.

So I did have I think one or two questions on the 25 form of order, so let's hit those. But as I said, I'm

confirming the plan.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

10

11

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

25

MS. MIELKE: I'd be remiss, Your Honor, if I didn't say we did get it under 20 pages.

Thank you. And I appreciate that as THE COURT: well, and that's even with the resolutions.

My first comment is paragraph 15. And this is the 1146 paragraph. I would like it to mirror the code. I think it goes beyond that and since it affects third party governmental entities across the board, it should just mirror the code.

And then my only other comment is on I think it's new 12 paragraph 29, newly numbered paragraph 29. The last sentence 13 is forward looking and deals with substantial consummation which I don't think is within my purview. So I'm fine with the first sentence given the debtors authority to consummate the combined disclosure statement and plan but I'm not going to provide prospective thoughts on what might be substantial consummation.

MS. MIELKE: Noted, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. Other than that, the order is fine. And I will look for a revised form of order with those two changes that reflected my comments.

MS. MIELKE: Thank you, Your Honor. We'll make those changes and submit it under certification of counsel.

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.

I know, Ms. Batts, I'll let you know I did not have
an opportunity to look at fees. I will get to that and
hopefully promptly. But I will get to it as soon as I can.

MS. BATTS: Thank you, Your Honor, I appreciate it.

THE COURT: Okay. Anything further?

MS. MIELKE: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you. We're adjourned.

(Proceedings adjourned 10:23 a.m.)

9

CERTIFICATION

I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript 3 from the electronic sound recording of the proceedings in the 4 above-entitled matter to the best of our knowledge and ability.

5

1

2

6 /s/ Theresa Pullan

August 7, 2023

7 Theresa Pullan, CET-780

8 Certified Court Transcriptionist

9 For Reliable